

The case for managed futures in an investment portfolio





Andrew Beer

Managing member of DBi,
an iMGP Partner

At DBi, we are convinced that managed futures is the single most valuable diversifier investors can add to a portfolio of stocks and bonds. We believe it provides more bang-for-your-buck than many other widely used alternatives, such as private equity, private credit, REITS and commodities.

Since 2000, managed futures — as measured by the SG CTA Index¹, which in our view is the best source of long-term data on the space — have demonstrated 1) strong relative returns, 2) a low-to-negative correlation to equities and bonds, and 3) an ability to mitigate losses when markets are falling.

This diversification benefit became evident during the challenging market conditions of 2022, when stocks and bonds were moving in tandem: a 10% allocation to managed futures in 2022 would have cut losses in a global 60/40 portfolio² by more than a fifth over the calendar year.

It is important to pay attention to the allocation in a portfolio, of course. Some investors have expressed nervousness about these strategies or have had issues with hedge funds in general in the past, but we believe that times have changed.

The managed futures mutual funds and ETFs of today are far better than they were a decade ago. While they are often thought to be more risky than traditional asset classes like stocks and bonds, the reality is the opposite. Indeed, managed futures have had virtually the same historical drawdown level as bonds with less than a third that of global equities.

Nevertheless, there still are a few major pitfalls that can trip investors up. This paper is a starting point to support our clients in building a durable strategy to invest in managed futures while avoiding as many of the drawbacks as possible.

1. The SG CTA Index is designed to track the largest 20 (by AUM) CTAs and be representative of the managed futures space. The index is not representative of the entire population of CTAs or hedge funds. The index's performance may not be indicative of any individual CTAs or hedge funds and the index may not have been adjusted for fees/commissions. The index cannot be traded by individual investors. The actual rates of return experienced by investors may be significantly different and more volatile than those of the index.


2. The calculation assumes a portfolio with 60% invested in the MSCI ACWI Index and 40% invested in the Bloomberg Global Aggregate Bond Index.

Diversification benefits of managed futures

Past performance does not predict future returns


77% the return of global equities, 115% of global bonds

Annualised returns since 2000




Low correlation to equities and bonds

SG CTA Index correlations since 2000



A degree of protection against market volatility

Max drawdown of SG CTA Index vs global equities and global bonds since 2000



Source: iM Global Partner, eVestment. Performance of SG CTA Index, MSCI ACWI Index, and the Bloomberg Global Aggregate Index from December 31 1999 to December 31 2024. in USD terms.





DBI

iM
Global
Partner

1. Managed futures: What and why?

Managed futures is an investment strategy that looks for trends in dozens, or hundreds, of assets that can be accessed through futures contracts such as crude oil, bonds, equities and currencies. A futures contract is a financial derivative that makes it possible for investors to hold long or short positions in specific asset classes, as opposed to buying and holding something like a stock or bond, or even gold.

In other words, if crude oil is rising (or falling), a hedge fund manager might go long (or short) with a futures contract and bet that this trend will continue. Hedge fund managers use quant models to study past prices to decide what to buy and sell, and then diversify across commodities, rates, equities and currencies. As markets and prices shift, these managers tactically move around and change their exposures to the asset classes. This is where the ‘managed’ in managed futures comes from – and it is an extremely liquid and efficient way to bet on these price moves.

In essence, managed futures funds are simply taking advantage of market waves. The best time to do this is when significant market movements cause extreme volatility, like we saw in 2022; other times markets are choppy and they bounce around but don’t make much forward progress. The good news is that there are always waves and managed futures funds are designed to take advantage of these opportunities.

Busting the low return myth

Many people first learned of managed futures during the so-called long winter of 2015-20, when a combination of the Fed put and near-zero interest rates resulted in low returns that likely discouraged them from considering this style of investing. But when you zoom out and look at performance from the past 20 years or so, the long winter doesn’t look so long.

As the chart to the right shows, over the long term, the managed futures asset class, as represented by the SG CTA Index, has offset equity risk during all three prolonged bear markets and managed to keep up with fixed income during

most of the great bond bull market – only to flip to an inflation play and make money from the recent rise in yields.

Trend-following strategies like managed futures have the ability to generate alpha because most investors are trained to think markets revert and then buy the dip. Like Warren Buffett’s trope about cutting flowers and watering weeds, we often sell winners too soon and hold losing positions too long. In 2022, most managed futures funds detected the signs of inflation early, repositioned portfolios quickly and rode the wave.

That kind of alpha generation, we believe, is not going away any time soon. You can think of managed futures as outsourcing a portion of your portfolio to a tactical strategy that might find trades that many investors may not be able to do on their own.



2. Diversification while reducing single-manager risk

One of the advantages of managed futures strategies is the diversification benefits they can provide to investors because they can allocate exposures across the asset classes. While on the surface the idea of investing in a “quantitative, long and short, leveraged derivatives-based strategy” may seem complex and prone to blow-ups, the reality is the opposite

Since 2000, the SG CTA Index has had volatility of 8.7%, compared to around 15.7% for global equities and 3.3% for global bonds.³ Over the same time period, the maximum drawdown, as shown on page 2, is -14.3%, less than a third of equities and roughly similar to bonds.

This is not by chance; it is the product of managers diversifying across markets and size positions prudently. Their models also ruthlessly cut losing positions to avoid a white-knuckle ride, which some fundamental investors can go through. Futures contracts are also highly liquid, so funds can exit positions when they need to.


Mitigating single-manager risk

A major pitfall when selecting hedge funds is the possibility of choosing the one that underperforms the market by a wide margin. The chart at the bottom of this page illustrates vividly

how fortunes can vary among these funds from one year to the next. Indeed, the reality of this industry is that recent star managers are no more likely to outperform the market than other managers, and are just as likely to end up near the bottom of the pack at some point. In 2022, the managed futures asset class as a whole went up by 20%, but around a fifth of mutual funds and ETFs in this sector actually lost money, according to Bloomberg data.⁴ In the 2010s, the most popular single-manager fund underperformed the index by 20% over five years.

Many battle-hardened investment veterans have figured this out and today pick two to four single managers for their allocations. This requires more effort to monitor, but it helps to mitigate single-manager risk.

By replicating the peer group, the DBi Managed Futures strategy is not only diversified, but it also helps to mitigate one of the biggest risks of investing in hedge funds: manager selection and single-manager risk. For those who want a single-line item to fill the strategy bucket – in essence, outsource single manager diversification – this can be achieved by investing in a multi-manager fund or through replication.



Source: Bloomberg, DBi, iM Global Partner in USD terms as of December 31 2024.

3. iM Global Partner, eVestment as of 31/12/2024. Data in USD terms.

4. Bloomberg, 31 December 2022. Performance of constituents of the Morningstar US Systematic Trend index.



DBi

**iM
Global
Partner**

3.

Fee reduction is the purest form of alpha

It is our core belief that one of the best ways to deliver higher returns to investors is to keep fees and costs as low as possible. It may be true that few investors care about fees in good years and fees often seem secondary when evaluating a fund on a hot streak, but as a long-term allocator, they can matter a great deal.

In particular, it's the tough years when people start asking questions. In choppy seas, managed futures might deliver 3-5% per annum over cash, but no better than cash after fees and expenses. That was a huge problem during the late 2010s, when cash was earning roughly zero and, hence, clients made zero. Mutual funds generally have higher management fees than the hedge funds represented in the SG CTA Index, but no performance fees; ETFs tend to be less expensive.

There's no perfect answer here. Some of the better performing mutual funds in 2022 had high fees, as did some of the worst. Lower fees in some products were cold comfort after years of persistent underperformance.


We think the fee question plays out in a few ways. First, lower fees can matter a great deal when investors are judged in part on the all-in cost of investing. Second, investors may be more patient during those inevitable lower return periods, it just grates on people when it looks like everyone is making money but them. Finally, all things being equal, capital grows more over time.

The chart below shows the performance of the DBi Managed Futures strategy compared to the SG CTA Index and the Morningstar US Fund Systematic Trend peer group since inception in 2016. The DBi strategy has outperformed the index and peer group since inception in 2016 not only because fees have been kept to a minimum, but also because it replicates the major positions of a selection of CTAs, and therefore reduces single-manager risk.

Index-plus replication drives outperformance through fee disintermediation and mitigating single-manager risk

Past performance does not predict future returns

Period ending December 31, 2024	DBi Managed Futures Strategy (Net)	SG CTA Index	EAA Fund Systematic Trend Peer Group
1 Year	7.4%	2.4%	2.4%
3 Years	6.6%	5.9%	4.2%
5 Years	6.4%	5.4%	3.4%
Since inception (July 31, 2016)	5.1%	2.5%	2.4%



Source: iM Global Partner, eVestment, Morningstar. Data as of December 31 2024 net of fees in USD terms since inception of the DBi Managed Futures strategy on July 31 2016. Data over one year are annualised. Prior to 2019 the performance of the strategy shown is a representative account. Performance of the strategy is net based on fees of 99 bps. These data are being shown for illustrative purposes only. The index is not representative of the entire population of CTAs or hedge funds. The index's performance may not be indicative of any individual CTAs or hedge funds.

4.

A strategy that can help to mitigate losses

The chart below shows the impact on drawdowns in a global 60/40 portfolio consisting of the MSCI World Index and Bloomberg Global Aggregate Index in 2022, a year when both equities and bonds had a torrid time.

iM Global Partner’s research found that adding a 5% allocation to managed futures would have reduced drawdowns by more than 20%, while a 20% allocation would have reduced drawdowns by more than 40%. The ideal allocation for any given portfolio will of course depend on a number of factors and variables, including current holdings, investment time horizon and attitude to risk.




5.

Finally...an ability to perform when markets are volatile

In 2022 the volatility that we witnessed left few areas of the market unscathed and made many investors feel exposed. Managed futures proved to be one of the few bright spots, offering positive returns that bucked the broad market trend. Between January and October 2022, global equities were down 17.1% and global bonds fell by 11%, while managed futures registered a 24% gain.

This behaviour was not a one-off occurrence. During the downturn that came after the dotcom bubble burst in 2000, managed futures went up by 28.8% between March 2000 and September 2002, while global equities fell by 46.8%. A few years later, immediately before and during the height of the global financial crisis, global equities dropped 54.9% between October 2007 and March 2009, and managed futures increased by 24%.

Indeed, over the long term, managed futures as an asset class have delivered steady returns, capturing most of the upward movement of markets during good years, and mitigating the downside in bad years. As these strategies become more accessible to a wider group of investors, managed futures can be increasingly viewed as a potential diversifier in a portfolio, offering low correlation to equities and bonds. ■





DBi



How to access managed futures as an investor

While the options for investing in managed futures are increasing, there are still some limitations. If the SG CTA Index were available as a mutual fund or ETF, investing in these strategies would be significantly easier.

The fact remains that the index just represents the average performance of 20 leading managed futures hedge funds – and you can’t invest in it. Of course, an institution could invest directly in half a dozen constituents of the index to approximate it, but it’s not easy to do.

Given accreditation and other issues with hedge funds, most investors today are likely to gain exposure via mutual funds or ETFs, which offer much more attractive options than a decade ago. That said, the pickings are still relatively slim compared to the hedge fund world.

Most investors, in practice, can choose from four strategies:

- Single Manager;
- 2-4 Single Managers;
- Multi-Manager;
- Replication.

To build a durable long-term strategy to invest in the space, we believe that the primary goal of fund selection should be to reliably match or outperform the index. During the 2010s, many early adopters chose the single-manager path and selected funds they believed would accomplish this. However, this approach can be risky, which is why DBi developed a strategy that aims to provide exposure to this asset class through a vehicle that offers transparency, simplicity, daily liquidity and low fees.

	Single Manager	2-4 Single Managers	Multi-Manager	Replication
Mutual funds/ETFs	Both	Both, but few ETFs	Mutual Fund only	ETF/UCITS only
Pros	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single line item • Brand names • Always a few good options to choose from • Fees (usually) lower 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Same as Single Manager, but • More diversified/predictable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single line item • Brand names • More diversified/predictable • Tendency to match index 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single line item • Potentially more diversified/predictable • Designed as “index-plus” • Can be less expensive
Cons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extreme dispersion • Recent outperformance often luck, not skill • Difficult as long-term hold 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cluttered bucket • Difficult to track/explain multiple funds 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expensive • Unlikely to outperform 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can seem too simple

Source: DBi, iM Global Partner



About the author

Andrew Beer has more than 25 years of experience in the hedge fund industry. Over the past decade he has focused on identifying strategies to outperform leading hedge fund portfolios with low fees, daily liquidity and fewer downside risks.

Andrew is Managing Member of DBi, a pioneering firm specialised in hedge fund replication, and co-manager of the firm's strategies.

Contact us

For more information, please contact your iM Global Partner representative, or reach us at: client_services@imgp.com

Disclaimer

This document is issued on a confidential basis and for professional investors only, by iM Global Partner SAS (hereinafter "iM Global Partner"), a French company domiciled at 20 rue Treilhard, F-75008 Paris (France), supervised and authorized by the Autorité des Marchés Financiers under number 6925760 as a portfolio management company.

This presentation does not constitute a solicitation, offer or recommendation to buy or sell any security or other financial instrument or product. Furthermore, any reference to a specific security in this document should not be construed as a recommendation or investment advice. This is not a contractually binding document, or an information document required by law. It is not intended for distribution to or use by individuals or legal entities that are citizens of or reside in a state, country or jurisdiction in which applicable laws and regulations prohibit its distribution, publication, issue or use. Users are solely responsible for verifying that they are legally authorized to consult the information herein.

Furthermore, the information contained herein (including historical prices, flows or values) has been obtained from sources that iM Global Partner considers to be reliable; however, iM Global Partner makes no representation as to, and accepts no responsibility or liability for, the accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein. Such information is presented as of the date and, if applicable, time indicated. iM Global Partner does not accept any responsibility for updating any such information. It may be revoked or changed without prior notice.

The information contained herein on past performance of the strategy should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance of the strategy. Performance is calculated in the unit currency and, when investments are made in a different currency, it may also be affected by fluctuations in exchange rates.

Any projections, valuations, and statistical analyses contained herein have been provided to assist you in the evaluation of the matters described herein. Such projections, valuations, and statistical analyses are subject to unexpected risks and uncertainties, are not to be viewed as facts, and should not be relied upon as an accurate representation of future events. Accordingly, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future events.

iM Global Partner is not an adviser as to legal, taxation, accounting, regulatory or financial matters in any jurisdiction and is not providing any advice as to any such matter. Investors are advised to consult their legal, financial or tax advisors before making any investment decisions. Tax treatment depends entirely on the financial situation of each investor and may be subject to change. It is recommended that investors obtain the appropriate expert advice before taking any investment decisions.

Any investment program involves a high degree of risk and is suitable only for certain investors. iM Global Partner makes no representations as to the suitability of any of the strategies mentioned for any investor. You acknowledge that you will conduct your own independent financial, business, regulatory, accounting, legal and tax investigations with respect to the accuracy, completeness, and suitability of this information, should you choose to use or rely on this document, at your own risk, for any purpose.